

From: [Derek Ogden](#)
To: [BOC Info](#)
Subject: Status v incidence
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 5:48:24 PM

CAUTION: External Sender. Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

. This complaint or more accurately suggestion is regarding the ordinances functional compliance with state law and the citizens affected, realistic availability to the services in their community. To open with a solution or two, there should be clarification on the term trespass whether it is an incident or a status that is referred to with citation. The state law is that a trespass status is decided by the property owners based on circumstances. Which gives them reference in their direct experience at least clerically, And then the offender can request a hearing to resume going to that store, business, etc. Currently only the police have any knowledge of who is 'trespassed' (prop management/owners aren't informed) and the police have no process to able to expunge or remove that status, because the parties involved aren't able to communicate or submit the forms to apply those considerations. I personally have spoken to every available employee in regard to my citations, all of whom expressed wanting me to return as a customer , and security when they had made the call initially. and none of them had the paperwork or technically authority to process the unavailable paperwork to resume shopping at places we depend on, and yet they can initiate the trespass status.. giving a single sided influence that doesn't bother to (or have availability to communicate with those whom it is obligated, the equity holders and primarily the community. I feel that whatever the citations resolution (innocent clerically removing the status and guilty then giving the community/property holders an obligation to personally suggest a continuance of that trespass status for an amount of time, reasonably. Untaxed property perhaps given further communal oversight.

These tickets for sleeping under a light for safety resulting in being stuck here for court are also constricting some, and more or over time, keeping myself for instance in a town I didn't want to be in for over 3 and a half years (court every couple weeks and needing to sleep in between. Not allowed at shelters etc because of negligence by the funding parties to ensure fair and safe practices at those facilities. Reminds me of the lack of Federal representation in our scholastic, historical and communal venues. I hope to hear back from you regarding this. I am willing to help by offering my own amended version of the ordinance, or presenting these concerns to the community council personally. in question and look forward to this being addressed.