



**CABLE HUSTON**<sub>LLP</sub>

TOMMY A. BROOKS  
ADMITTED IN OREGON & WASHINGTON

[tbrooks@cablehuston.com](mailto:tbrooks@cablehuston.com)  
[www.cablehuston.com](http://www.cablehuston.com)

December 2, 2014

**VIA E-MAIL  
AND U.S. MAIL**

Mike Brandt  
Yamhill County Planning Director  
525 NE Fourth Street  
McMinnville, Oregon 97128

RE: Docket No. SDR-16-14/FP-03-14  
Supplemental Materials

Dear Mr. Brandt:

I am submitting this letter on behalf of Riverbend Landfill Company (“Riverbend”), Applicant in the above-captioned matter. The Staff Report identified some items of information that the County would be requesting before this proceeding concludes. You have separately asked for some additional figures relating to the Preliminary Site Development Plan. The purpose of this letter is to transmit those items to you. Please include this letter and its attachments in the record.

Attachment 1 to this letter is an updated Traffic Impact Study. The study is dated August 2013 and was used by the County as part of the recent Zone Change for Riverbend Landfill. We inadvertently included an older study as an exhibit to the Farm Impacts Assessment in the application. The application relies on the information only from the updated study.

Attachment 2 to this letter is a noise study that addresses potential impacts from Riverbend Landfill. This study, too, is an updated version of a prior study that concluded Riverbend operates within DEQ’s noise standards. The purpose of the update is to capture changes in operations and to reflect potential impacts from the expansion areas that are part of the current application.

Attachment 3 to this letter is the floodplain analysis we submitted with the Floodplain Development Permit Application. This version of the analysis is stamped by the engineer that conducted the analysis.

Attachment 4 to this letter contains three figures showing the final grading plan in a larger scale. You originally requested these figures at a scale that is at least double the original

**CABLE HUSTON**  
Mike Brandt  
December 2, 2014  
Page 2

scale. We were able to provide such a larger scale for two of the three figures (A-4.1 and A-4.3). As we discussed, however, the scale for the other figure (A-4.2) needed to be slightly smaller in order to fit on a single 11 x 17 sheet and to meaningfully show the area for Module 11. We are providing an electronic version of these figures as well, which should allow you zoom in as needed to create the larger scale.

We are still working to provide three cross sections of the perimeter berm along Highway 18. Similar to the other figures, and as we discussed, it is difficult to show these figures in any meaningful way using the 1" = 5' scale you originally requested. We will therefore provide these figures using a larger scale that fits on a single 11 x 17 sheet of paper. However, we are providing these figures, too, in an electronic format that can be re-sized if necessary. These will be transmitted separately on December 3<sup>rd</sup>.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions or requests regarding these attachments.

Sincerely,



Tommy A. Brooks

Enclosures: 4 Attachments