

INDEXED

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE STATE OF OREGON

85-525

FOR THE COUNTY OF YAMHILL

SITTING FOR THE TRANSACTION OF COUNTY BUSINESS

In the Matter of an Ordinance)
 Amending the Urban Growth)
 Boundary of the City of Carl-)
 ton to Add An Approximately)
 18 Acre Parcel, Known as Tax)
 Lots 3421-2500, 3421-2602, and)
 3421DB-4990, Applicant the)
 City of Carlton)

ORDINANCE NO. 413

FILED
 YAMHILL COUNTY, OR
 1985 JUL 25 PM 3:
 CHARLES STERN
 COUNTY CLERK
 DEPUTY

THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF YAMHILL COUNTY, OREGON (the Board) on the 24th day of July, 1985, sat for the transaction of County business in special session, Commissioners Lopuszynski, Porter and Bishop being present.

WHEREAS, applicant the City of Carlton has requested that Tax Lots 3421-2500, 3421-2602 and 3421DB-4990, approximately an 18 acre parcel be included within the urban growth boundary of the City of Carlton; and

WHEREAS, the urban growth boundary management committee held a hearing on the 5th day of June, 1985, and recommended approval of the request; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners held public hearing on the 24th day of July, 1985; and

WHEREAS, based upon the findings of fact and conclusive findings attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and by this reference incorporated herein, it appears appropriate to approve this request; and

WHEREAS, in order to approve this request an exception must be taken to statewide planning goals 3 and 14 and based upon the findings for an exception to those planning goals included in Exhibit "A" this Board finds it would be appropriate to take said exception; and

WHEREAS, this ordinance is in the best interests of the citizens of Yamhill County NOW THEREFORE

IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That based upon the findings of facts and conclusions attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and by this reference incorporated herein, Tax Lots 3421-2500, 3421-2602, and 3421DB-4990, consisting of approximately 18 acres be and hereby is added to the urban growth boundary of the City of Carlton.

Section 2. That based upon the findings of fact and conclusions set forth in Exhibit "A" and by this reference incorporated herein, an exception is hereby taken to the Statewide Planning Goals 3 and 14 for the inclusion of the above-mentioned parcel and that this exception shall be incorporated into and become part of the Yamhill County Comprehensive Plan.

Section 3. This ordinance being necessary for the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Yamhill County and an emergency having been declared to exist shall be effective upon passage hereof.

DONE this 24 day of July, 1985 at McMinnville, Oregon.

ATTEST:

YAMHILL COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

CHARLES STERN
County Clerk

Ted Lopuszynski
Chairman TED LOPUSZYNSKI

Date: 7-24-85

By: Elaine Pearcey
Deputy ELAINE PEARCEY

Donald D. Porter
Commissioner DONALD D. PORTER

Date: 7-24-85

APPROVED AS TO FORM BY:

Daryl S. Garrettson
DARYL S. GARRETTSON
Yamhill County Counsel

David E. Bishop
Commissioner DAVID E. BISHOP

Date: 7-24-85

Exhibit A: Findings of Fact and Conclusionary Findings

The following findings of fact and conclusionary findings support an amendment to the Carlton Urban Growth Boundary as indicated below:

1. That there is a public need for a comprehensive plan amendment.
2. That there was an error in the original comprehensive plan.
3. That there is a need to change the currently adopted comprehensive plan.
4. That there is an inadequacy of other comparatively planned and/or zoned land currently available to satisfy the public need.
5. That the property proposed to be changed is the best property available for the comprehensive plan amendment.
6. That the proposed comprehensive plan amendment is in conformance with all Statewide Goals, and any applicable street, highway and/or utility plans for the area.
7. That the proposed property is adequate in size and shape to facilitate those uses allowed in the proposed zone upon adoption of the comprehensive plan amendment.
8. That the proposed property is properly related to streets and highways to adequately serve the type of traffic that will be generated by the uses in the proposed zone upon adoption of the comprehensive plan amendment.
9. That the proposed comprehensive plan amendment will have no adverse affect on abutting property or the permitted uses thereof.

