

BOARD ORDERS AND MINUTES

OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

FOR THE COUNTY OF YAMHILL

SITTING FOR THE TRANSACTION OF COUNTY BUSINESS

THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF YAMHILL COUNTY, OREGON (the "Board") sat for the transaction of county business in regular formal session on Wednesday, July 26, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. in Room 32 of the Courthouse, McMinnville, Oregon, Commissioners Leslie Lewis, Kathy George, and Mary P. Stern being present.

Also present were John M. Gray, Jr., County Counsel; John Krawczyk, Director of Administrative Services; Laura Tschabold, Senior Management Analyst; Bill Gille, Director of Public Works; Ken Friday, Senior Planner; Mike Brandt, Planning Director; Dan Linscheid, Surveyor; and members of the public as listed below.

Bob and Mary Hemstreet, 28880 Thomson Mill Road, Sheridan; B. Carl Gordon 28045 Thompson Mill Road, Sheridan; Clifton Canaday, 27600 SW Thomson Mill Road, Sheridan; Ina Benuche 16475 SE Woodland Heights Road, Amity; Garry and Lynda Bevier, 9160 NE Highway 240, Yamhill; Marilyn Reeves, Friends of Yamhill County, P O Box 1083, McMinnville; John Bridges, 515 East First St, Newberg; Judy Gauntt, P O Box 444, Dundee; Larry Anderson, 112 N Springbrook Road, Newberg; Kristine Jurgenson, 4004 SW Westdale Drive, Portland, 97221; Sandra Timm, 10660 SW Davies Road # 30, Beaverton, 97008; Robin Kurtz, P O Box 6, Dundee; Jennifer Johnston, 23440 Ramsey Road, Newberg; Gary Hadley, 26398 SW (unclear) Rd, Sherwood, 97140; Ken (KJ) Smith, 17410 SW Parrett Mtn Road, Sherwood, 97140; Arvid Span, 9710 Worden Hill Road, Dundee.

Commissioner Lewis called the meeting to order.

- A. **PUBLIC COMMENT:** No public comment was offered.
- B. **CONSENT AGENDA:** Commissioner George moved approval of the consent agenda. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

Personnel

1. **B. O. 06-509** - Approve employment of Donna Jane Dull as Office Specialist II, Community Corrections, Range 7, Step 1, effective July 26, 2006.

Contracts

2. Approve annual renewals of intergovernmental agreements between H&HS and the following counties for funding acute care psychiatric hospital alternatives, effective July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007:
- a. **B. O. 06-510** - Benton County;

- b. **B. O. 06-511** - Lincoln County;
  - c. **B. O. 06-512** - Linn County; and
  - d. **B. O. 06-513** - Marion County.
3. **B. O. 06-514** - Approve an intergovernmental agreement between Public Health and Willamina School District #30J for a School-Based Health Center, 2006-2007.
  4. **B. O. 06-515** - Approve an agreement between Public Works and David C. Smith & Associates for aerial mapping services, \$22,050, to be completed by September 30, 2006.
  5. **B. O. 06-516** - Approve an agreement between Adult Mental Health and Northwest Human Services for after-hours crisis hotline services, \$899.87 per month, effective July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.

Bids

6. **B. O. 06-517** - Accept the low bid of \$34,060 submitted by Comfort Control, Carlton, Oregon, for jail roof HVAC replacement and approve a contract subject to county counsel's approval.

Fair

7. **B. O. 06-518** - Approve the following cash funds for the 2006 Yamhill County Fair:  
     \$2000 Change Fund; \$500 petty cash; \$500 open class premiums.

Road Vacation

8. **B. O. 06-519** - Consideration of RV-6-2006, a petition to vacate a portion of Sunnycrest Way, as submitted by Robert A. Scholl, James Morrison, William R. Boyd, and Elmer L. and Deloris F. Christensen, 100% of the property owners abutting the subject road.

Committees

9. **B. O. 06-520** - Accept the resignation of Tonya Saunders from the Special Transportation Advisory Committee.

C. **OLD BUSINESS:** None.

D. **OTHER BUSINESS** (Add-ons and Non-Consent Items):

1. **B. O. 06-521** - Approve Amendment #21 to the 2005-2007 Intergovernmental Agreement for Financing of Mental Health, Developmental Disability, and Addiction Services Agreement # 113020, between H & HS and the Oregon Department of Human Services, a continuation of the annual prevention award, in the amount of \$50,000, the bulk of which is contracted to YCAP.

Commissioner Stern stated that she has discussed the grant reporting procedures with the chemical dependency manager, and is satisfied that timely updates on YCAP's use of the grant funding can be established.

Commissioner George moved approval of Amendment # 21 as listed above. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

2. **B. O. 06-522** - Approve an employment contract for 2006-07 between the Commission on Children & Families and Marilyn Kennelly, currently Acting Director of CCF.

John Gray stated the contract has been forwarded to Ms. Kennelly for review. He recommended the Board authorize the chair to sign on his final approval, following Ms. Kennelly's review.

Commissioner George moved to authorize the Board chair to sign the employment contract, contingent on John Gray's approval. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

3. **B. O. 06-523** - Approve a change to the membership composition of the Yamhill County Fair Board, to reduce the number of Fair Board members to five, effective immediately.

Commissioner Lewis stated the Fair Board and Fair Manager discussed the proposed change in membership at the recent Fair Board meeting and were unanimous in supporting the change to a board membership of five, rather than seven.

John Gray stated that the Board of Commissioners has authority pursuant to ORS 565.210 to appoint a fair board of not less than three nor more than seven members.

Commissioner Lewis moved to establish the fair board as a five-member body effective immediately. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

4. **B.O. 06-524** - Accept the 2006 Byrne Grant award for H&HS Chemical Dependency, \$129,660.

Commissioner George moved acceptance of the Byrne Grant award as noted. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

5. **Omit** - Consideration of an appointment to the Special Transportation Advisory Committee, to complete the term of Tonya Saunders. [To be considered at a later time.]

Note: The following items were approved when the Board reconvened at 2:00 p.m.

4. **B. O. 06-525** - Authorize the chair to enter into a contract between Yamhill County and Campbell Delong Resources for a public opinion survey regarding support for a meth management levy, not to exceed \$15,000.

Commissioner Stern moved to authorize the chair to enter into a contract between the Board of Commissioners and Campbell Delong Resources as listed above. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

5. **B. O. 06-526** - Approve an increase in work time for Karen Brooks, H S Tech, Abacus, effective August 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007 to assist with development and implementation of Consumer Care Partnerships.

Commissioner George moved approval of the increase in work time for Ms. Brooks. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

6. **B. O. 06-527** - Approve changes to the bylaws of the Commission on Children and Families relating to quorum and voting by proxy, as recommended by the Commission on Children and Families and described in the memo dated July 26, 2006.

Commissioner George moved to approve the change in bylaws as requested by CCF. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

E. **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

1. **B. O. 06-528** - Consideration of a request for a residential district on portions of Alderman Road, as continued from June 14, 2006 at the point of Deliberation.

Commissioner Lewis reopened the public hearing.

Bill Gille provided additional background material and stated the blind spot which creates the sight distance problem is between milepost 1.74 and 2.05.

Ms. Stern asked what measures could be taken to mitigate the problem other than reducing the speed limit for that small portion of the road. Mr. Gille recommended warning signs be placed. He stated that establishing residential speed zones in rural settings is odd, awkward, and provides no transition from the basic rule down to 25 mph, which of itself may be potentially hazardous.

There was extensive discussion of other possibilities including installation of a sensing device, such as radar. Mr. Gille stated that he prefers warning signs, as it is best not to present drivers with unexpected or confusing situations such as a sudden decrease in speed.

Commissioner Lewis stated she supports signing as well as striping the road for “no passing” in both directions leading to the blind spot. She stated that if a speed zone investigation is begun, it should pertain to the entire road.

Commissioner Lewis moved to direct Public Works to improve signage at the location of the sight distance problem (“blind spot”); to stripe the road for “no passing” in both directions leading to the “blind spot”; and to begin a speed zone investigation for the entirety of Alderman Road. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye. No action was taken at this time on the request to create a residential district.

2. **B. O. 06-529** - Consideration of RV-2-2006, a request for vacation of Woodland Heights Road, as continued from June 28, 2006 at the point of Staff Recommendation. *Tentatively approved; continued until August 16 for consideration of adoption of findings in support of approval.*

Commissioner Lewis reopened the public hearing.

Mr. Gray stated that at the conclusion of public testimony at the earlier hearing, the Board allowed a two week period for submittal of additional written testimony.

Dan Linscheid reviewed the materials received, including a response from the fire district. He reaffirmed his original recommendation to approve the vacation.

Ms. Stern asked for clarification regarding two issues: whether appropriate notice was provided to the west side property owners affected by the proposed vacation; and whether the applicants expanded the original application. Mr. Gray stated Mr. Linscheid has complied with the standards for notice found in the Oregon Revised Statutes.

Mr. Gowell stated that expansion of the application was dependent on whether two additional property owners chose to join the homeowners' association; they did not join, so the original application stands. Mr. Gowell stated the current roadway is financed through a \$6/month assessment; improvements will be financed primarily the same way.

Close of Public Hearing / Deliberation - Commissioner Lewis stated that although some opposing testimony was received, it appears a number of homeowners support the vacation, not only for improvements, but also because without it they lack the ability to control speed and access.

Commissioner Lewis moved to accept the staff recommendation and tentatively approve vacation of Woodland Heights Road, pending adoption of written findings on August 16, 2006.

Commissioner Stern stated that the liability issue is clearly very important to a number of the homeowners and it appears that a strong majority of those affected support the vacation.

The motion to tentatively approve the vacation carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye. Written findings will be considered on August 16, 2006.

3. **B. O. 06-530** - Consideration of M37-08-06, a Measure 37 claim authorizing Garry Bevier to make application to divide 29.8 acres into one-acre lots and to establish dwellings thereon. *[Approved.]*

Commissioner Lewis opened the public hearing.

Mr. Gray stated that M-37 applications are not subject to the quasi-judicial land use hearing regulations; Ordinance 749 establishes the procedure for a staff report by the planning director, optional presentations by claimant and opponents, and rebuttal prior to the Board's deliberation.

Staff Report - Mike Brandt stated that this application was first presented to the Board in late June and then scheduled for public hearing. He stated the subject property is located on Thompson Mill Road near Sheridan and has been in the current ownership since July 31, 1970. He reviewed the written testimony in the record.

Claimant - Garry Bevier stated he is requesting the entitlements of Measure 37 as they apply to his property.

Bob Hemstreet stated that he supports approval of the claim.

Opponents - Marilyn Reeves, Friends of Yamhill County, stated there are clearly health, public safety, fire safety, and other requirements such as sewer and water necessary before a subdivision can be developed on the subject property. She stated the Board should disallow this claim because at the present time there is still no clear statewide resolution of the transferability issues and because it was not the intent of the voters supporting Measure 37 to allow subdivision development in rural areas.

Clifton Canaday stated he opposes the claim on behalf of himself and three other residents of Thompson Mill Road who are unable to be present. He stated he purchased his AF-20 property 30 years ago for its rural atmosphere. He stated this claim, if approved, will change the character of the rural neighborhood dramatically and that the proposal to place 30 family residences on 30 acres in that rural area is "just crazy". He asked how current owners who want to protect their rural property can possibly be compensated for their losses if the claim is allowed.

Benton Carl Gordon stated that he lives almost directly across from the subject property and opposes the claim for the same reasons as those stated above. He stated he hopes the Board will recognize that approval of a 30-home subdivision on 30 rural acres that is not designed to support such density will irrevocably change the landscape and rural character. He stated that he has lived on his property for 15 years; a number of the neighbors have been there even longer and their rights and expectations that the rural zone would be honored deserve protection.

Rebuttal - Mr. Bevier stated that he would be happy to accept financial compensation and that "the State has plenty of money", but that he wants to exercise the freedom that Measure 37 has afforded him.

Marilyn Reeves stated that at the time the Supreme Court reviewed the constitutionality of Measure 37, supporters of Measure 37 stated that compensability should be addressed by looking back at the value of the property at the time of purchase. She stated that claimants have instead been submitting mythical, totally unsubstantiated values.

Close of public hearing / Deliberation - Commissioner Lewis stated the Board does not have many options under the constraints of Measure 37 and subdivisions have been approved by both the Board and the State. She stated the Board has no authority to deny this claim. Ms. Lewis stated that it is the county which would have to pay compensation, and the county most certainly does not have the money to compensate Mr. Bevier for even a modest estimate of \$25,000 per lot.

Mr. Gray stated that circumstances specific to the property will ultimately determine the number of lots that can be developed.

Mr. Brandt stated that although a number of Measure 37 claims have been approved in Yamhill County, many of them cannot establish the number of lots requested due to topography, septic requirements, etc. He stated that this application will face significant fire safety and set-back issues, as well as other safety and health concerns that will probably preclude the possibility of thirty one-acre lots.

Commissioner Lewis moved tentative approval of M-37-08-06 with findings to be adopted in one week.

Commissioner Stern stated she does not believe that it was either the legislative intent or the will of the voters that rural subdivisions would be built. She stated that while Mr. Bevier may believe the State has plenty of money, the County does not. She stated she believes that the calculations made to determine loss of value for most Measure 37 claims are mostly a farce. She stated that it is clear that Measure 37 does not concern itself with the negative livability or financial impact on neighbors who object to their rural areas becoming subdivisions. She stated she will vote no.

Commissioner George stated it is true that the effects of Measure 37 create wide-ranging philosophical questions which should have been addressed thirty years ago when state land use laws were first enacted. She stated she feels strongly that property rights are important to freedom and to the prosperity of the county, and Measure 37 is the only relief property owners have had in the past 30 years. She stated she hopes that Mr. Bevier will recognize that his long-time neighbors do not want to live in a rural subdivision and that he will develop his property in ways that are sensitive to their concerns.

The motion to approve M37-08-06 carried, Commissioners Lewis and George voting aye; Commissioner Stern voting no.

Recess; reconvene at 10:28 a.m.

4. Consideration of Planning Docket PAZ-05-05 / SDR-19-05 / SU-01-05, a request for a planning amendment/zone change from EF-40 to RC Recreational Commercial, an exception from Goal 3 (Agriculture), a site design review, and a similar use determination on six acres to build nine rental cabins, applicant Judy Gauntt. ***[The hearing was continued as follows: the record will be left open until 5:00 p.m. August 23, 2006, for submission of additional materials relating to alternative sites, etc., by the applicant; until 5:00 p.m., August 30, 2006 for rebuttal by opponents; until 5:00 p.m., September 1, 2006, for final written rebuttal by applicant, and returned to the Board at the point of Staff Recommendation at 9:00 a.m. on September 6, 2006.]***

Commissioner Lewis opened the public hearing. There were no abstentions or objections to jurisdiction. She stated that all three commissioners had visited the site.

Rick Sanai read the statement required for land use hearings related to the requirement that parties must raise all issues at the hearing or waive their right to raise the issues on appeal.

Staff Report - Ken Friday located the property on a vicinity map and stated the subject property, accessed by Worden Hill Road, is 6.65 acres in size, with uneven topography, sloping sharply to the east, primarily forested and in forest deferral. Surrounding uses are farm, forest, and rural residential with properties to the north, east, and west zoned AF-20. He referenced the relevant zoning criteria and the applicable sections of OAR 660-04 (related to exceptions), and OAR 660-12, (the transportation planning rule) which must be considered. He stated comments have been received from the fire district and Soil & Water Conservation District. He stated that the planning commission recommended denial, 7:1.

Proponents - John Bridges, attorney, stated the site, which is currently overgrown with brush, has an interesting history back to World Wars I and II during which time a large building on the property served as a mess hall for laborers working for Allen Fruit Company. He said other peripheral buildings and prune dryers were also located on the property, which has long been recognized as an agricultural support site. He stated the property has not been used agriculturally due to its severe slope; neither is it considered primarily high value farmland, according to the soils analysis. He stated the majority of the property is north-facing, and not suitable for grapes. He stated the “bunker” type building is a water cistern that currently provides domestic water for this site and for the tax lot just to the west.

Mr. Bridges stated that the issues which concerned the planning commission are actually design-level issues which will be resolved later in the development process. He submitted a design-level analysis of elevations on the property prepared by consultant Larry Anderson and stated it should successfully address the planning commission’s concerns.

Mr. Bridges stated that the applicant plans to build cabins which will function as an agri-tourism bed and breakfast, allowing enjoyment of the views of vineyards. He stated that it is anticipated that one septic system will probably be adequate for the entire property, but in any case, the septic plans will be overseen by county sanitarians. He stated the former mess hall will be re-designed as the bed and breakfast dining area and a caretaker will live upstairs. He stated that an agreement has been reached with the neighbor regarding the water cistern: her domestic water source will be replaced in return for assigning cistern water rights to the applicant.

Mr. Bridges stated the record includes letters of support from wine and wine-related businesses in the county as well as other bed and breakfast owners. He stated that while Dundee is justifiably well-known for its wineries and welcomes large numbers of visitors, there are only 14 lodging rooms in the nearby area.

Mr. Bridges reviewed alternative Recreational Commercial (“RC”) zoned sites, and stated that all are far removed from the Dundee hills area and are not of the vineyard ambiance that make this site marketable. He stated that because the proposed B&B design is for small cottages located throughout the property, it doesn’t require a great deal of square footage and some of the RC sites are much too large. Mr. Bridges said a number of the RC sites have access and safety-related

problems; most are already committed, i.e., Mulkey RV Park, and Flying M. He said all of the sites along Highway 240 are too far removed from the Dundee hills American Viticulture Area (“AVA”) to be useful, and the west Dundee sites are fully committed to homesites, churches, and farming.

Mr. Bridges stated it is important to consider and balance the relevant county goals. He stated that even the rural area development goal recognizes there will be some development in rural areas. He stated this application supports the economic development goal in that it will employ three to four people and support the tourist and wholesale wine industries. He stated the parcel is not economically viable agriculturally.

Mr. Bridges stated that a conditional use application would allow the applicant to construct a huge house for a B & B with up to nine sleeping rooms. However, Mrs. Gauntt is willing to accept a bed and breakfast overlay on the site, and operate it under the B & B rules, serving only breakfast. He said the applicant has voluntarily suggested a written commitment that the site would remain a B & B. He stated that he agrees with the planning staff and SWCD regarding protection of current farming practices in the area and this application simply places an appropriate agri-tourism business in the agricultural area.

Judy Gauntt stated there are no plans to serve any meals other than breakfast and the cabins will be screened from the road and very secluded.

Mr. Friday responded to questions from the Board and stated that the application can be limited to service of one morning meal to overnight guests of the nine cabins.

Mr. Bridges stated there are other operational B & B rules which allow special events such as wedding receptions if the food is catered by an outside vendor.

Larry Anderson stated he is available to answer questions relating to the design plan.

Kristine Jurgenson stated she is an investor in the B & B project and believes the proposed design maintains the integrity of the rural community.

Sandra Timm stated she will be the caretaker, living on-site fulltime, and believes the proposal is a very positive development for the area.

Robin Kurtz stated she is the adjacent property owner who shares the water cistern and that she testified at the planning commission regarding her concerns about her water. She stated she is satisfied that the issue has been resolved and believes the proposed design is delightful and much more practical than expanding a house to accommodate guests.

Jennifer Johnston stated there is a significant need in the area for quality lodging for wine industry business people who visit frequently and are unable to find accommodations locally.

Gary Hadley stated he is both a friend of the applicant and a general contractor and land developer who sees the B&B as a template for future support of the wine industry in the area.

Ken Smith stated he is working with the applicant to finance the project and strongly supports the application as being a good business for the community.

Arvid Spar stated that prior to purchasing the property across the street from the applicant, he had difficulty finding suitable lodging when he visited the area. He stated the cabins will be secluded and the B & B will be a good neighbor.

Questions of Proponents - None.

Opponents - Marilyn Reeves, Friends of Yamhill County, stated that she supports the planning commission's recommendation to deny the application and the staff report which documented the obstacles this application faces in order to meet the requirements of State land use laws and county ordinances. Ms. Reeves stated the application must meet both Goal 3 and Goal 4 exceptions requirements. She stated the land does qualify as resource land and should be protected as such.

Ms. Reeves stated the testimony presented at the planning commission, which she requests be included in this record, details why the application cannot meet the reasons exception. She stated the proposal is basically a nine-unit motel which may serve breakfast. She stated that the county's zoning ordinance has very vague standards regarding B & B's, and she believes a review of those standards should be undertaken by the county.

Ms. Reeves stated the county's comprehensive plan does not consider rural development in the same category as a commercial business plan for a central business district. She stated that rural development is a matter of public concern because it increases traffic and interferes with accepted farming practices. She stated more evidence should be required of the applicant regarding whether the proposed use could be sited elsewhere. She said the planning commission expressed its concern about identification of alternative sites, road, and site grading issues. She stated this decision may be an important precedent when other B & Bs ask for an RC zone in order to expand. She urged the Board to deny the application.

Questions of Opponents - None.

Public Agency Reports - Mr. Friday stated there are no additional reports beyond those currently in the record.

Rebuttal - Mr. Bridges stated that evidence has been submitted regarding soils, slope, and north-facing direction which justify an exception to the farming goal. He stated that the application includes a request for a similar use to that which is allowed in an RC zone. He stated that a B & B will fit the zone because it provides support for the tourism and wholesale wine industry on a unique site that protects and enhances the rural ambience.

Mr. Bridges stated that he does not agree this proposal will be a precedent for expansion of other B & Bs. He stated that while it may be "a bit of a square peg in a round hole, it is still a great square peg". He said this B & B will operate under the same regulations as others do.

Commissioner Stern stated more information is needed if the Board is to be persuaded that the alternative sites are not appropriate. She stated that if the site search is being limited to the AVA, the Board needs a definitive determination of the AVA boundaries.

Commissioner George stated she agrees that additional information is required.

Ken Friday stated the applicant did not explain why an analysis of the Newberg Urban Reserve area was not included in the materials, and that additional detail regarding the eliminated sites is necessary. He stated that because there is no analysis of the Dundee AVA, the applicant may want to review other exception land in the Dundee area. Mr. Friday stated that it is very difficult standard to be able to justify that no other sites are suitable for a proposed use. He stated that in this instance, even if that particular standard is met, the planning staff may still recommend denial.

Mr. Bridges stated he would like four weeks to provide the additional information and to have professional GIS staff map the AVA.

Commissioner Lewis moved to leave the record open until 5:00 p.m., August 23, 2006, for the applicant's additional information on alternative sites and the Dundee AVA; to allow opponent's written rebuttal until 5:00 p.m., August 30, 2006; to allow the applicant's final written rebuttal until 5:00 p.m., September 1, 2006; and to continue the hearing to September 6, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. in Room 32 of the courthouse at the point of staff recommendation.

The motion as listed above carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

The formal session recessed until 2:00 p.m. at which time the Board will continue discussion of a proposal to place a meth management levy on the November ballot.

\* \* \*

Commissioner Lewis reconvened the formal session at 2:00 p.m. in the conference room of the Fenton House, 434 NE Evans Street, McMinnville. Present were Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern. Staff present were John Krawczyk and Laura Tschabold.

Ms. Tschabold stated that the consultant will be able to complete a public opinion survey within three weeks; the price quoted is based on a sample size of 400, allowing 5 to 7 minutes of questioning per person. She said the analysis of responses will summarize how many are strong supporters, weak, or undecided. She said the survey will begin by stating the problem and asking "If the election were held today ..."; based on the response, followup questions will be asked. She stated the consultant has asked that ten benefit statements be provided.

There was lengthy discussion of the benefits statements and the amount of the levy. Ms. Stern stated that State statistics confirm that 40% of children currently in foster care have been placed because of meth-related issues, and that these numbers have drastically increased as treatment efforts have declined. Mr. Krawczyk stated that the district attorney's information that 80% of county crime is drug-related is very powerful.

There was consensus to do a public opinion survey at thirty-nine cents per thousand assessed value for the levy with a backup amount of twenty-nine cents per thousand.

Commissioner Stern moved to authorize the chair to enter into a contract with Campbell DeLong Resources of Portland for a public opinion survey not to exceed \$15,000. The motion carried, Commissioners Lewis, George, and Stern voting aye.

Note: Board Orders 06-525, 06-526, and 06-527 were approved at this time in the meeting and are listed under Other Business above (page four).

**F. ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

The following county committee positions are open to the public. Contact the Commissioners' Office for applications:

Park Board, two positions.

Commission on Children & Families, one youth position and three alternate positions.

The Northwest Senior & Disability Services has openings for Yamhill County residents on the following regional councils:

a. Senior Advisory Council, one position.

b. Disability Services Advisory Council, one position.

For more information, contact Sally Lawson at (503) 304-3473 or by e-mail at [Sally.Lawson@state.or.us](mailto:Sally.Lawson@state.or.us).

The meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

Carol Ann White  
Secretary

YAMHILL COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

---

Chair LESLIE LEWIS

---

Commissioner KATHY GEORGE

---

Commissioner MARY P. STERN